

Notes on Nested Virtualization (KVM on KVM) CloudOpen Eu 2013

Kashyap Chamarthy <kashyap@redhat.com>
23 OCT 2013

Agenda

- 1 Overview
- 2 Optimizations for performance
- **3** Configuration
- 4 Initial workload with kvm.git
- 5 Conclusions & further to do



Section 1 Overview

Regular Virt

[VMs are like regular Linux processes]

 APP '' L1 (Regular Guest)		
'		- i
 LC 	(Host Hypervisor) KVM	(/dev/kvm)
	86 Hardware (VMX)	
'		

Nested VMX

L2 (Guest 	OS) (Guest	 			
 L1 L1	(Guest Hypervisor) KVM (/dev/kvm)		L1 (Regular	Guest)	
 x86 Hardware (VMX)					

Why Nested Virt?

- User-controlled hypervisors
- OpenStack in a VM
- Test/Demonstrate virtualization setups
- Live-migration of hypervisors

Performance overhead – Too many VM Exits & VM Entries



Section 2 **Optimizations for performance**

Nested VMX Optimizations

VMCS Shadowing (Intel)

- Processor optimization that lets L0 define a shadow VMCS
- Guest hypervisor can access shadow VMCS directly (in hardware)
 - Consequently, reducing the number of VM entries & exits

Nested MMU Optimizations

 EPT (Extended Page Tables) – Hardware optimization that provides a second level address translation.

Nested EPT

- Emulate EPT for L1
- Allows L1 to use EPT when running a nested guest (L2)



Section 3 Configuration

On Host Hypervisor

On L1 (Host Hypervisor):

```
$ cat /sys/module/kvm_intel/parameters/nested
Y
$ cat /sys/module/kvm_intel/parameters/enable_shadow_vmcs
Y
$ cat /sys/module/kvm_intel/parameters/ept
Y
```

Libvirt XML snippet

Expose VMX instructions to L1

```
<cpu match='exact'>
<model>Haswell</model>
<feature policy='require' name='vmx'/>
</cpu>
```

[Or]

L1 libvirt invocation

L1 QEMU command-line prepared by libvirt

```
$ /usr/bin/qemu-system-x86_64 -machine \
  \ accel=kvm -name guest-hypervisor \
  -S -machine pc-i440fx-1.4,accel=kvm,usb=off \
  -cpu host \
  -m 10240 -smp 4,sockets=4,cores=1,threads=1 \
  -uuid 4ed9ac0b-7f72-dfcf-68b3-e6fe2ac588b2 \
  -nographic -no-user-config -nodefaults \
  [...]
```



Section 4 Initial workload with kvm.git

A simple test

 Compile kernel with make defconfig #!/bin/bash cd /home/test/src/linux make defconfig for i in $\{1...10\}$; do make clean; sync; sudo sh -c "echo 3 > /proc/sys/vm/drop_caches" time make; done 2>&1 | tee make-timings.txt

Kernel compile with and without VMCS Shadowing

In L2's case, a **5%** improvement can be noticed *with* VMCS Shadowing enabled on L0.

Kernel compile with different MMU combinations

In L2's case, w/ nEPT: 10x improvement vs Shadow-on-Shadow; 3x vs Shadow-on-EPT



Section 5 Conclusions & further to do

Summary

 L2 performance improvements are real w/ current kvm.git – which has nEPT & VMCS Shadowing support

A ton of test combinations!

To do

- Run Autotest *virt-test* exercises different guest OSes
- More fine-grained tests, worst-case workloads (cpuid?)
- KVM unit-tests in L1
- Disk and Network I/O Multi-level device assignment

Testing from kvm.git

- To test from kvm.git queue (patches are tested here)
 - \$ git clone \
 git://git.kernel.org/pub/scm/virt/kvm/kvm.git
 - \$ git branch -d test_ept1 origin/queue
 - \$ make -j6 && make bzImage && make modules
 - \$ make modules_install && make install

Notes and References

- Configuration notes with Haswell
 - https://github.com/kashyapc/nvmx-haswell/blob/master/SETUP-nVMX.rst
- Nested Virtualization notes
 - https://kashyapc.wordpress.com/tag/ nested-virtualization/
- OpenStack RDO
 http://openstack.redhat.com
- KVM website
 http://www.linux-kvm.org/
- Autotest virt-test
 https://github.com/autotest/virt-test/



The end.

Thanks for listening.